



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,835	05/25/2000	Pai-Hung Pan	M4065.0321/P321-A	6233

7590 12/28/2001

Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP  
2101 L Street NW  
Washington, DC 20037-1526

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CUONG QUANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2811

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Ex Parte Reexamination Advisory Action</b>	<b>Control Number</b> 09/577,835	<b>Patent Under Reexamination</b> PAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Cuong Q Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2811	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE PROPOSED RESPONSE FILED \_\_\_\_\_ FAILS TO OVERCOME ALL OF THE REJECTIONS IN THE FINAL REJECTION MAILED \_\_\_\_\_. Therefore, unless a timely appeal is filed, or other appropriate action by the patent owner is taken to overcome all of the outstanding rejection(s), this *ex parte* reexamination proceeding WILL BE TERMINATED and a Notice of Intent to Issue *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate will be mailed in due course. Any finally rejected claims, or claims objected to, will be CANCELLED.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION.

(Extensions of time are governed by 37 CFR 1.550(c))

1. ☐ Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on \_\_\_\_\_ (or within the extended period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
  - (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the proceeding in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The new limitations in claim 25 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.
3. ☐ Patent owner's proposed response filed \_\_\_\_\_ has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_
4. ☐ The proposed new or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit/declaration, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT overcome the rejection(s) because: \_\_\_\_\_.
6. ☐ The affidavit/declaration or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
 Claim(s) patentable and/or confirmed: none  
 Claim(s) objected to: none  
 Claim(s) rejected: 25-30  
 Claim(s) not subject to reexamination: \_\_\_\_\_
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
10. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

*Tom Thomas*

**TOM THOMAS**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)